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ABSTRACT

Spatial modelling techniques, including weights of evidence, logistic regression and fuzzy logic, have been used in this study for a mineral prospectivity analysis to test the utility of these methods in a target scale gold exploration project within the Palaeoproterozoic Central Lapland Greenstone Belt (CLGB) in Finland, Northern Fennoscandian Shield. In mineral exploration, typical numerical data sets used are categorical geological maps and their derivatives, ordered or ratio-scale data from geophysical and geochemical surveys processed in various ways, in addition to any other relevant spatially referenced geoscientific information. Spatial analysis in Geographic Information Systems (GIS) is an efficient and accurate way to quantify relationships between existing targets (e.g. mineral deposits) and various geoscientific datasets and to produce a quantitative measure of the favourability of the desired feature, typically a specific mineral deposit type. The datasets used in this study are derived from very high resolution airborne geophysical survey (magnetic, electromagnetic and gamma radiation measurements), regional ground gravity survey and a target scale till geochemical survey. Drilling samples with over 0.5 g/ton gold were used as ‘training points’ for the spatial modelling. This study shows that integrating high quality and high precision geophysical and geochemical data in a GIS provides valuable guidelines for decision making in a mineral exploration project. The success of these exercises has still not been truly proven but the indirect new evidence from geochemical surveys correlate with the high potential areas.

INTRODUCTION

The Central Lapland Greenstone Belt (CLGB) is located in the Northern Fennoscandian Shield, approximately 100 km north of the Arctic Circle (Figure 1). It is mainly composed of Palaeoproterozoic mafic to ultramafic volcanic sequences and related sedimentary units surrounded by granitic intrusions (Lehtonen et al., 1998). Small-scale gold exploration within CLGB started in the 1980s concentrating mainly along a major shear zone, named the Sirkka Shear Zone (SSZ), which roughly crosses the entire greenstone belt from west to east. However, there are several gold occurrences located at a considerable distance from the SSZ, indicating that there are additional controlling factors involved. In the current study area, Petäjäselkä, gold mineralization is hosted by mafic volcanic rocks and intercalated intermediate volcanic rocks, graphic cherts, tuffs and sedimentary rocks metamorphosed to greenschist facies.

Mineral prospectivity mapping (or mineral potential mapping) takes great advantage of GIS technology to deal with the large amount of digital geoscientific map data (Bonham-Carter, 1994). Various GIS methods have greatly surpassed a human’s ability to integrate and analyze quantitatively large amounts of spatially referenced data. There are several mathematical and statistical techniques available for discovering patterns in spatial data, thereby making effective use of the exploration data that grow on annual basis.

Nykänen and Salmirinne (2007) and Nykänen, V.M. et al. (in press) conducted a series of empirical and conceptual prospectivity analyses for orogenic gold within the CLGB using high-resolution airborne geophysics (Airo, 2005) with 200 m line spacing at 40 m terrain clearance (magnetic and electromagnetic), regional till geochemistry with 1 sample/4 km2 (Salminen, 1995), regional gravity survey with 1 reading/km2 and 1:200 000 scale bedrock map, resulting in the generation of several untested targets. Furthermore Nykänen, V.M. et al. (2005) conducted a conceptual target scale spatial modelling for one of the targets called Petäjäselkä located in the middle part of CLGB (Figure 1) using very high-resolution airborne geophysics with 50 m line spacing at 30 m terrain clearance (magnetic, electromagnetic and gamma radiation), regional gravity with 6 readings/km2 and regional till geochemistry with 1 sample/4 km2 as input data. The current paper describes a further prospectivity mapping at a target scale using a combination of Fuzzy Logic, Weights-of-Evidence and Logistic regression. The geophysical input is the
same as in Nykänen, V.M. et al. (2005) but the geochemical input is enhanced with a target scale detailed geochemical survey comprising a 250 m grid. The aim of the study is to provide data integration tools for exploration geologists and help them plan the next step in their drilling program.

**SPATIAL MODELLING**

The spatial modelling techniques used in this study are described by Bonham-Carter (1994) and have been widely used in various ways for mineral exploration (e.g. Carranza & Hale, 2000, 2001; Harris et al., 2000, 2001; Raines & Mihalasky, 2002; Paganelli et al., 2002; Carranza, 2004; Raines & Bonham-Carter, 2006; Harris & Sanborn-Barrie, 2006; Wright et al., 2006). Two modelling approaches, conceptual and empirical, were combined here to integrate the evidential datasets into a single prospectivity map. Initially the geochemical evidence was combined together using the inference network adopted and

*Figure 1*: Location of the study area and a generalized geological map of CLGB. Location of the gold occurrences based on Eilu (1999).
slightly modified from Nykänen, V.M. et al. (2005). The resulting combination map of geochemical anomalies was then used as an input in the combined weights-of-evidence and logistic regression modelling together with the geophysical evidence.

The empirical weights-of-evidence method is based on quantifying the spatial association between the training sites (e.g. known mineral occurrences) and the evidential data (map patterns). In this study, the training points for the empirical modelling were selected from the existing one meter drill sections exceeding 0.5 g/t on Au. The amount of samples passing this requirement was 17 in the current state of the exploration project. Samples were projected to the surface to represent the training point by using the drilling direction and the average dip of 45 degrees. Unit cell size in the modelling was 10x10 m and the total study area was 31.8 km². The weights-of-evidence modelling is based on Bayes’ probability theory, which assumes conditional independency between the evidence layers with respect to the training sites (Bonham-Carter, 1994). To avoid this conditional dependency problem, there are analytical methods available, like logistic regression, which do not have this requirement of conditional independency, and thus enable the use of more variable data sources for prediction models. Input evidence data layers were reclassified into binary patterns using the maximum contrast value in the cumulative weights calculation, and then the logistic regression method was used to combine the evidence layers (Agterberg, 1989; Agterberg et al., 1993) into a single prospectivity map for orogenic gold deposits.

Very high resolution airborne geophysics

A very high resolution aerogeophysical survey covering 260 km² was flown over the Petäjäselkä study area, at a line spacing of 50 m, a flight altitude of about 30 m, and flight direction east–west, perpendicular to the dominant trend of geological units (Nykänen, V.M. et al., 2005). Differential GPS resulted in extremely high spatial precision of data. The measurements included three standard components: magnetism, electromagnetism, and radioactivity. The evidential dataset were derived from these components.

Magnetic

The tilt derivative (TDR) was calculated for 100 m upward continued magnetic field total intensity data to describe and illustrate structures that might be associated with gold deposits. The method for calculating TDR is described by Miller and Singh (1994) and Verduzco et al. (2004). The upward continuation was used to enhance the signal to noise ratio and better define larger structures that are masked by near surface anomalies observed at low flight altitudes (Naidu and Mathew, 1998). High TDR values are commonly associated with lateral contrasts in magnetization that are dike-like in shape, and may represent dikes, nonmagnetic alteration zones, or faults associated with gold mineralization.

Electromagnetic

The measured parameters of the electromagnetic survey were in-phase and quadrature components with frequencies 3 kHz and 14 kHz. The lower frequency has better depth penetration (Peltoniemi, 1998) and has been widely used for mineral exploration. Thus the in-phase component of the 3 kHz frequency was selected for gold potential modelling to help locate sulfide conductors.

Gamma radiation

Anomalous K/Th ratios can be used as evidence of the potassic alteration related to gold mineralization alteration zones within mafic to ultramafic rocks (Airo, 2002). On the other hand the decrease in Th in metasedimentary rocks may indicate sulfides associated with gold. Therefore, the elevated U/Th ratio can be used as evidence of reduced conditions within metasedimentary rocks (Airo, 2002). These two parameters derived from the gamma radiation survey were combined together using Fuzzy OR operator (Nykänen, V.M. et al., 2005) and the resulting combination was used as an evidence layer (Figure 2).

Regional gravity

A gravimetric ground survey in the Petäjäselkä study area covers 169 km² using a density of six readings/km². The horizontal gradient magnitude of the Bouguer anomaly data [mgal/km] peaks over structures associated with contrasts in bedrock density. Orogenic gold deposits in greenstone belts are commonly located in affilitated smaller faults on a district scale or shear zones geometrically related to crustal-scale shear zones. The horizontal gradient of the Bouguer anomaly was selected to delineate this important structural control associated with orogenic gold occurrences.

Detailed till geochemistry

The target scale geochemical sampling was done using 250 m point spacing resulting in the collection of 559 samples within the 31 km² study area. Assays were done using ICP-AES and GAAS (for Au) methods after partial leaching. The procedure is
described by Niskavaara (1995). The original point data were interpolated using the inverse distance weighting (IDW) method and a 50-m grid interval to create the gridded color surface maps for the selected elements. Values for each grid were then divided into 16 classes by quantiles for further processing prior to modelling. Suites of elements were considered together to determine favorability for certain sources. The suites used were Fe, Cu, Co and As (sulfidic source), K (alkaline alteration) and Au together with Te (mineralized source). These suites of elements were combined together using Fuzzy logic methodology (Nykänen, V.M. et al., 2005) and the resulting combination was used as an evidence layer (Figure 3).

**MODELLING RESULTS**

Table 1 summarizes the measurement of spatial association between the 17 training sites and the evidence layer following the methodology described by Bonham-Carter (1994) and Raines et al. (2000). Due to strong conditional dependency between the geochemical data the separate anomaly maps of individual elements were combined together using a Fuzzy logic method (Bonham-Carter, 1994). The same was done for the gamma radiation maps. Thus the number of evidence maps for the final prospectivity maps was five.

The evidence layer comprising the combined till geochemistry has the strongest spatial association with the training sites whereas the gamma radiation map has the weakest spatial association. In addition, the confidence \( C^* \) value for the gamma radiation evidence is rather low. Confidence values above 1.96 are considered as acceptable. Thus the gamma radiation could be excluded and the gravity gradient map is only slightly below this threshold. However, these two maps were used in the model and we are accepting the uncertainty into the prospectivity map resulting from this decision. When Nykänen, V.M. et al. (2005) used TDR lows as an input in their Fuzzy logic model in this study we found that high TDR values had stronger spatial association with the drill samples used as training sites. Low values on the TDR map did not correlate at all with the training sites. Modelling could perhaps be improved if the magnetic textures in magnetic high areas were geologically interpreted into a structure map.

**Table 1: Summary of the weights \( W^+ \) and \( W^- \), contrast \( C \) and confidence \( C^* \) for each input evidence map organized in descending order by the contrast \( C \) value (measure of spatial association).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>( W^- )</th>
<th>( W^+ )</th>
<th>( C )</th>
<th>( C^* )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Till geochemistry</td>
<td>-2.4215</td>
<td>1.0255</td>
<td>3.4470</td>
<td>3.3440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM real</td>
<td>-1.8750</td>
<td>0.4232</td>
<td>2.2983</td>
<td>2.2296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity gradient</td>
<td>-1.1262</td>
<td>0.3255</td>
<td>1.4517</td>
<td>1.9285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM (TDR)</td>
<td>-0.2848</td>
<td>0.9267</td>
<td>1.2115</td>
<td>2.3871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma radiation</td>
<td>-0.7503</td>
<td>0.2738</td>
<td>1.0241</td>
<td>1.6097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total area = 31.8 km²; Unit cell size = 0.0001 km²; Number of training sites = 17; Prior probability = 0.00005; \( C = W^+ - W^-; C^* = C/C(\text{std}) \).

Geological evidence gathered during exploration suggest that gold mineralization in Petäjäselkä is related with shear zones and sub-parallel quartz veins and cross-cutting magnetite rich graphitic chert horizons in mafic volcanic rocks. Although gold mineralization related alteration destroys magnetite, even the very high resolution aeromagnetics does not resolve these few metre wide alteration zones within the magnetic host rock. The most obvious magnetic lows cross cutting the area have been drill tested and they are related to earlier deformation and have been barren so far.

The posterior probabilities of the final prospectivity map are classified into four classes using the natural data breaks shown in Figure 4. The lowest probability class has an upper break at the prior probability value of 0.00005 and represents ‘very low’ Potential. According to the current modelling this area in the
probability map is defined as an area where the probability of finding gold is less than chance, whereas in the other areas in the map the probability is higher than chance. This ‘very low’ probability area covers about 77% of the total study area, whereas the area of highest potential covers only about 3% of the total study area.

**Figure 5:** Posterior probability map (logistic regression). Open blue rectangle defines the inset map area of Figure 7.

**Figure 6:** Posterior probability associated with the training sites. Due to conditional dependency between the evidence data sets in relation to the training sites the posterior probability values of the logistic regression calculation was used.

Due to lack of samples exceeding the criteria of having Au content above 0.5 g/ton the validation of this map (model) is difficult. The posterior probability of the prospectivity map (Figure 5) was associated with the training sites (Figure 6). Two of the 17 training sites (12%) are located within the area with posterior probability lower than prior probability. This is not a very good fit, but does provide some guidelines for the process of validating the modelling.

Three geochemical surveys and a detailed till sampling of a test pit, which were not used for modelling, show that there are significant geochemical anomalies within the high probability areas (Figure 7), which is a positive indicator of the validity of the model. The best field validation is done by drilling the targets.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Geophysical and geochemical datasets were integrated together to create a prospectivity map to support decision making in an ongoing exploration project. Three independent geochemical surveys were used to test the validity of the modelling. This study shows that integration of high resolution data in a GIS using quantitative spatial modelling techniques can be used...
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